



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,321	10/27/2003	Piotr Gryciuk	142.01	6047
30480	7590	07/03/2006		EXAMINER
EDWARD S. SHERMAN, ESQ.				MEISLIN, DEBRA S
3554 ROUND BARN BLVD.				
SUITE 303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SANTA ROSA, CA 95403			3723	

DATE MAILED: 07/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/695,321	GRYCIUK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	D S. Meislin	3723

– The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address –

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 May 2006.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                            2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 16-19 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 27 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
  - a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
    1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
    2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
    3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/15/04.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taylor et al in view of Dobazenecki.

Taylor discloses all of the claimed subject matter except for having a second socket. Dobazenecki discloses a first socket and a second socket removably held within the first socket with a latch. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to form the device of Taylor et al with a removably latched second socket to enable the engagement of variously sized workpieces as taught by Dobazenecki.

3. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taylor et al in view of Dobazenecki as applied above, in further view of Gealy.

Gealy discloses a tether connected to a socket insert. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to form the latch of the device of Taylor et al as modified by Dobazenecki as a tether to prevent from losing the insert as taught by Gealy.

4. Claims 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taylor et al in view of Dobazenecki as applied above, in further view of Cirone.

Cirone discloses marking, indicia, texture (bands, labels and appliqués) identification, anodizing, labels, bands, color, shapes and patterns for indicating socket sizes. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to form the

device of Taylor et al as modified by Dobazenecki with indicia in the manner as taught by Cirone to differentiate socket sizes as taught by Cirone.

5. Claims 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shyu or Castrorao in view of Cirone.

Shyu or Castrorao disclose all of the claimed subject matter except for having markings. Cirone discloses marking, indicia, texture (bands, labels and appliqués) identification, anodizing, labels, bands, color, shapes and patterns for indicating socket sizes. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to form the device of Shyu or Castrorao with indicia in the manner as taught by Cirone to differentiate socket sizes as taught by Cirone.

6. Claims 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Halstead in view of Fegley or Sanmiya and Cirone.

Halstead discloses all of the claimed subject matter except for having a T-shaped handle and markings. Fegley or Sanmiya disclose a socket tool having a T-shaped handle. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to form the device of Halstead with a T-shaped handle to allow for rotation of the socket as taught by Fegley or Sanmiya. Cirone discloses marking, indicia, texture (bands, labels and appliqués) identification, anodizing, labels, bands, color, shapes and patterns for indicating socket sizes. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to form the device of Halstead with indicia in the manner as taught by Cirone to differentiate socket sizes as taught by Cirone.

7. Claims 16-19 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on May 22, 2006.

**8. INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES**

**Replacement Drawing Sheets**

Drawing changes must be made by presenting replacement sheets which incorporate the desired changes and which comply with 37 CFR 1.84. An explanation of the changes made must be presented either in the drawing amendments section, or remarks, section of the amendment paper. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). A replacement sheet must include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of the amended drawing(s) must not be labeled as "amended." If the changes to the drawing figure(s) are not accepted by the examiner, applicant will be notified of any required corrective action in the next Office action. No further drawing submission will be required, unless applicant is notified.

Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and within the top margin.

**Annotated Drawing Sheets**

A marked-up copy of any amended drawing figure, including annotations indicating the changes made, may be submitted or required by the examiner. The annotated drawing sheet(s) must be clearly labeled as "Annotated Sheet" and must be presented in the amendment or remarks section that explains the change(s) to the drawings.

**Timing of Corrections**

Applicant is required to submit acceptable corrected drawings within the time period set in the Office action. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in ABANDONMENT of the application.

If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings **MUST** be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for

reply in the "Notice of Allowability." Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability.

9. Applicant must provide drawings that are in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84.

All drawings must be made by a process which will give them satisfactory reproduction characteristics. Every line, number, and letter must be durable, clean, black (except for color drawings), sufficiently dense and dark, and uniformly thick and well-defined. The weight of all lines and letters must be heavy enough to permit adequate reproduction. This requirement applies to all lines however fine, to shading, and to lines representing cut surfaces in sectional views. Lines and strokes of different thicknesses may be used in the same drawing where different thicknesses have a different meaning.

Reference characters (numerals are preferred), sheet numbers, and view numbers must be plain and legible.

Lead lines must originate in the immediate proximity of the reference character and extend to the feature indicated. Lead lines must be executed in the same way as lines in the drawing.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. S. Meislin whose telephone number is 571 272-4487. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, alt. Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on 571 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



D.S. Meislin  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 3723

June 21, 2006